



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6348
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTS AND ECCENTRIC DIGRAPHS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment (files .doc do not support standard latex format. As a consequence, the comments are placed into an attached document)	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See section 2 in the attached document.	Notations suggested are followed. Changes are incorporated. 1) No change required in the content. Only notation is changed. 2) Done 3) Okay 4) Okay
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See section 3 in the attached document.	1) Removed. 2) Standard notation followed. No change required. 3) This is a suggestion not pertaining to the content of the paper. We will look into it. 4) Done 5) Changed 6) Done 7) Written as per reference given. No change required. 8) Trying
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	See section 1 in the attached document.	Notations suggested are incorporated wherever possible.